

## **Appendix – Decision List**

**APPLICATION NUMBER:** P/FUL/2022/01957- Eweleaze Farm Eweleaze Farm Campsite Osmington DT3 6ED

**APPLICATION SITE:** Eweleaze Farm Eweleaze Farm Campsite Osmington DT3 6ED

**PROPOSAL:** Change of use of agricultural land to camping site for 42 days per year

**DECISION:** Delegate authority to the Head of Planning and the Service Manager for Development Management and Enforcement to Approve subject to the expiration of the statutory consultation period resulting from notification of landowners and having regard to any comments received in response to that consultation, planning conditions, with the final wording being agreed with the Chairman of the Western and Southern Planning Committee, discussion with the landowner in respect of pre-commencement conditions and the completion of an Appropriate Assessment, including any requirement for a planning obligation to secure mitigation for any significant likely effects identified by the Appropriate Assessment. The planning conditions shall cover:

1. Time limit for implementation.
2. Plans list.
3. Surfacing of first 10m of access.
4. Traffic Management Plan.
5. Tented camping only.
6. Time period for seasonal use.
7. Mitigation measures for impacts on Dorset Heathlands.
8. Biodiversity plan.
9. Waste and waste water management plan.
10. No open fires on the ground.

## **Appendix – Decision List**

**APPLICATION NUMBER:** P/FUL/2022/01909

**APPLICATION SITE:** Weymouth Library Great George Street Weymouth Dorset DT4 8NN

**PROPOSAL:** Installation of mural artwork cladding boards

**DECISION:** GRANT subject to the following conditions:-

1. The development to which this permission relates must be begun not later than the expiration of three years beginning with the date of this permission.

Reason: This condition is required to be imposed by Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended).

2. The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the following approved plans:

Location plan

Proposed Elevation & Mural design plan (Revised design)

Reason: For the avoidance of doubt and in the interests of proper planning.

### **Informative**

1. Informative: National Planning Policy Framework Statement

In accordance with paragraph 38 of the NPPF the council, as local planning authority, takes a positive approach to development proposals and is focused on providing sustainable development.

The council works with applicants/agents in a positive and proactive manner by:

- offering a pre-application advice service, and
- as appropriate updating applications/agents of any issues that may arise in the processing of their application and where possible suggesting solutions.

In this case:

-The application was acceptable as submitted and no further assistance was required.

## Appendix – Decision List

**APPLICATION NUMBER:** WP/18/00662/FUL

**APPLICATION SITE:** Land off Verne Common Road and Ventnor Road, Portland

**PROPOSAL:** Develop vacant land by the demolition of garage, formation of vehicular access, erection of 25 dwellings & associated landscaping.

**DECISION:** REFUSE, for the following reasons:

1) The proposal, by virtue of its location outside of a defined development boundary and being for open-market residential development would be contrary to policies SUS1 (the level of economic and housing growth) and SUS2 (distribution of development) of the West Dorset, Weymouth & Portland Local Plan 2015. The development plan forms the starting point for decision making and is considered to be up-to-date such that where a planning application conflicts with the development plan permission should not normally be granted. There are not considered to be any material considerations that in this particular case would indicate that the development plan should not be followed and as such the proposed development also conflicts with the National Planning Policy Framework. Furthermore, the proposal would result in the loss of an area of incidental open space recognised as a site of open space value within the residential area and therefore the proposal is contrary to policy Port/CR4 of the Portland Neighbourhood Plan. This along with the reasons for refusal set out below add weight to the approach of following the development plan, as there are no material considerations that are considered to outweigh the identified conflict with the spatial strategy.

2) The proposal due to its scale and location would result in less than substantial harm to the setting of the scheduled monument, the Verne Citadel that would not be outweighed by any public benefit. Therefore, the proposal is contrary to policy ENV4 of the West Dorset, Weymouth and Portland Local Plan (2015), policy Port/EN4 of the Portland Neighbourhood Plan and section 16 of the National Planning Policy Framework 2021.

3) In the absence of a satisfactory completed Section 106 agreement the scheme fails to ensure provision of the affordable housing on site and any necessary financial contribution for off-site provision. Hence the scheme is contrary to policy HOUS 1 of the West Dorset, Weymouth and Portland Local Plan 2015.

4) In the absence of a satisfactory completed Section 106 agreement the scheme fails to provide adequate compensatory biodiversity/nature conservation measures through the provision of a financial contribution for grassland compensation and management of the Local Nature Reserve. Hence the scheme is contrary to policy ENV 2 of the West Dorset, Weymouth and Portland Local Plan 2015 and Section 15 of the National Planning Policy Framework 2021